
~~INTERNAL USE ONLY~~OLC 76-3094
27 October 1976

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Relationships with House Select Committee
on Assassinations

1. This proposes a course of action to undertake with the Select Committee.

2. Discussion

a. In looking to the road ahead, which may well eventually prove bumpy for reasons beyond our control, it is absolutely imperative that we fortify ourselves with the Committee so that they have the most positive attitude towards our desire to be cooperative. The simplest way to do this is to put ourselves in their shoes, anticipating what we can do for them to ease their work.

b. Whatever merits there are to a passive course (waiting for specific requests), it is much less likely to create the positive attitude which can be of such significance in the long run.

c. Although we can't anticipate the Committee's complete line of inquiry, certain lines can be, and if we do, and facilitate their work as a result, we will have earned their respect and proved our willingness to cooperate. More importantly, we will have created a climate conducive to their objective viewing of problems which will eventually arise. Finally, and practically, any other approach will only feed their apprehension over our real willingness to cooperate.

3. Proposal

a. Inventory - Provide the Committee an inventory of the kinds of material we may have on hand and in which we may safely presume they have an interest, e.g., collections on John Kennedy and Martin Luther King, material made available to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, the Warren Commission, the Rockefeller Commission, Agency responses to related Freedom of Information requests, and related IG and other conclusionary reports. (This inventory should be sized for them, how big, and recommendations

CIA HISTORICAL REVIEW PROGRAM
RELEASE IN FULL
1999~~INTERNAL USE ONLY~~


on how to approach its review.)

b. Material - Offer the Committee copies of those collections which do not present a security problem.

c. Briefings - Offer introductory background briefings on lines of inquiry concerning (a) above, as well as other areas where it could be helpful to them and could also help to place events and developments in perspective, e. g., the general purpose of our past involvement with anti-Castro groups.

With the preceding in hand, with suggestions on the size of the job (e. g., the number of man-hours required to review the material and recommendations on how to get into it, etc.), and with the offer of introductory briefings on our collections and some of the central issues, we should be in the strongest possible position for exacting from the Committee the prerequisite quid pro quos on security and other matters in which we have strong equities.

4. The credibility of our effort depends upon assurance that those in the Agency working in this area have full access to all relevant information. We must not operate on less than a full and complete informational base. It is requested that the Working Group established under the chairmanship of Scott Breckinridge, Deputy Inspector General, seek to satisfy on an expeditious basis the requirement set forth in paragraph 3 above.


Lyle L. Miller
Deputy Legislative Counsel

Distribution:

- 1 - DCI
- 1 - DDCI
- 1 - ER
- 1 - Scott Breckinridge
- 1 - OGC
- 1 - IG
- 1 - DDA
- 1 - DDO
- 1 - OLC Subject
- 1 - OLC Chrono

OLC:LLM:ndl (27 October 1976)

TRANSMITTAL SLIP		DATE
TO: ER		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	
REMARKS		
Orig & 6 cys returned to OLC for rewrite. 23 NOV 76.		
FROM:		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	EXTENSION
FORM NO. 241 REPLACES FORM 36-8 WHICH MAY BE USED.		

(47)

☐ UNCLASSIFIED☐ INTERNAL
USE ONLY☐ ~~CONFIDENTIAL~~☐ ~~SECRET~~

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

FROM:

Lyle L. Miller
Deputy Legislative Counsel

EXTENSION

4151

NO.

DATE

28 October 1976

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S
INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.

Legislative Counsel

28 OCT 1976

ELC

2.

General Counsel - 7D07

10. 28-76

✓

OGL

3.

Inspector General - 2E24

2 NOV 1976
4 NOV 1976

JH

4. DDO

2 NOV 1976

DDA BX-4

10 NOV 1976

DDCI - Approval

5.

DCI - Approval

6.

7. Scott Breckinridge - OIG
2E24

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

From 3: While we should try to be forward leaning, it is realistic to expect that we will probably be largely reactive. For instance, a briefing that may anticipate interests incorrectly could be injurious and not helpful; our offer should be to provide a briefing that meets their perceived initial requirements for an introduction. We will design it to their specifications.

The OLC should also press for management arrangements essential to making things go smoothly. Space and people should be arranged on a priority basis; registry, reading room, administrative space. Space should be arranged for the House investigators to read the files as occurred with the Senate.

-- Re an inventory -- one is already underway.

As DDO and DDA have an interest, they should coordinate on this too.

John H. Waller
Inspector General

2
concur with this 11/1/76
note

FORM
3-62610 USE PREVIOUS
EDITIONS☐ SECRET☐ ~~CONFIDENTIAL~~☐ INTERNAL
USE ONLY☐ UNCLASSIFIED

OLC 76-3094
27 October 1976

~~INSPECTOR GENERAL~~

~~76-3249~~

~~DD/O 76-8/66~~

~~Executive Registry~~

~~76-5608/1~~

MEMORANDUM FOR: Scott D. Breckinridge
Deputy Inspector General

FROM: Lyle L. Miller
Deputy Legislative Counsel

SUBJECT: Relationships with House Select Committee
on Assassinations

1. This proposes a course of action to undertake with the Select Committee.

2. Discussion

a. In looking to the road ahead, which may well eventually prove bumpy for reasons beyond our control, it is absolutely imperative that we fortify ourselves with the Committee so that they have the most positive attitude towards our desire to be cooperative. The simplest way to do this is to put ourselves in their shoes, anticipating what we can do for them to ease their work.

b. Whatever merits there are to a passive course (waiting for specific requests), it is much less likely to create the positive attitude which can be of such significance in the long run.

c. Although we can't anticipate the Committee's complete line of inquiry, certain lines can be, and if we do, and facilitate their work as a result, we will have earned their respect and proved our willingness to cooperate. More importantly, we will have created a climate conducive to their objective viewing of problems which will eventually arise. Finally, and practically, any other approach will only feed their apprehension over our real willingness to cooperate.

3. Proposal

a. Inventory - Provide the Committee an inventory of the kinds of material we may have on hand and in which we may safely presume they have an interest, e.g., collections on John Kennedy and Martin Luther King, material made available to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, the Warren Commission, the Rockefeller Commission, Agency responses to related Freedom of Information requests, and related IG and other conclusionary reports. (This inventory should be sized for them, how big, and recommendations

NOV 10 11 42 AM '76

EB

~~INTERNAL USE ONLY~~

on how to approach its review.)

b. Material - Offer the Committee copies of those collections which do not present a security problem.

c. Briefings - Offer introductory background briefings on lines of inquiry concerning (a) above, as well as other areas where it could be helpful to them and could also help to place events and developments in perspective, e.g., the general purpose of our past involvement with anti-Castro groups.

With the preceding in hand, with suggestions on the size of the job (e.g., the number of man-hours required to review the material and recommendations on how to get into it, etc.), and with the offer of introductory briefings on our collections and some of the central issues, we should be in the strongest possible position for exacting from the Committee the prerequisite quid pro quos on security and other matters in which we have strong equities.

4. The credibility of our effort depends upon assurance that those who work on it have full access to all information. We must not operate on less than a full and complete informational base. Subject to the approval of the Director and the Deputy Director of Central Intelligence, it is requested that you seek to satisfy on an expeditious basis (through the Working Group established under your chairmanship for this purpose) the requirement set forth in paragraph 3 above.

Lyle L. Miller
Lyle L. Miller

CONCURRENCE:

SIGNED

Legislative Counsel

/s/ Anthony A. Lapham

General Counsel

29 OCT 1976

(signed)
John H. Warner NOV 1976

Inspector General

APPROVAL:

Director of Central Intelligence

Deputy Director of Central Intelligence

Distribution:

Orig - Add'e 1 - OLC Subject
1 - DCI 1 - OLC Chrono
1 - DDCI
1 - ER
1 - OGC
1 - IG

OLC:LLM:ndl (27 October 1976)

92. WA 54 11 01 NOV


TRANSMITTAL SLIP		DATE
TO: ER		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	
REMARKS:		
ER# 76 5608/1		
FROM:		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	EXTENSION

FORM NO. 241
1 FEB 55

REPLACES FORM 36-8
WHICH MAY BE USED.

(47)

~~INTERNAL USE ONLY~~
OLC 76-3094
27 October 1976



Congress

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Relationships with House Select Committee
on Assassinations

1. This proposes a course of action to undertake with the Select Committee.

2. Discussion

a. In looking to the road ahead, which may well eventually prove bumpy for reasons beyond our control, it is absolutely imperative that we fortify ourselves with the Committee so that they have the most positive attitude towards our desire to be cooperative. The simplest way to do this is to put ourselves in their shoes, anticipating what we can do for them to ease their work.

b. Whatever merits there are to a passive course (waiting for specific requests), it is much less likely to create the positive attitude which can be of such significance in the long run.

c. Although we can't anticipate the Committee's complete line of inquiry, certain lines can be, and if we do, and facilitate their work as a result, we will have earned their respect and proved our willingness to cooperate. More importantly, we will have created a climate conducive to their objective viewing of problems which will eventually arise. Finally, and practically, any other approach will only feed their apprehension over our real willingness to cooperate.

3. Proposal

a. Inventory - Provide the Committee an inventory of the kinds of material we may have on hand and in which we may safely presume they have an interest, e.g., collections on John Kennedy and Martin Luther King, material made available to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, the Warren Commission, the Rockefeller Commission, Agency responses to related Freedom of Information requests, and related IG and other conclusionary reports. (This inventory should be sized for them, how big, and recommendations

NOV 53 5 13 PM '76


EW

~~EXECUTIVE REGISTRY FILE~~

Special File
File # 8

~~INTERNAL USE ONLY~~

~~INTERNAL USE ONLY~~



OLC 76-3094
27 October 1976

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Relationships with House Select Committee
on Assassinations

1. This proposes a course of action to undertake with the Select Committee.

2. Discussion

a. In looking to the road ahead, which may well eventually prove bumpy for reasons beyond our control, it is absolutely imperative that we fortify ourselves with the Committee so that they have the most positive attitude towards our desire to be cooperative. The simplest way to do this is to put ourselves in their shoes, anticipating what we can do for them to ease their work.

b. Whatever merits there are to a passive course (waiting for specific requests), it is much less likely to create the positive attitude which can be of such significance in the long run.

c. Although we can't anticipate the Committee's complete line of inquiry, certain lines can be, and if we do, and facilitate their work as a result, we will have earned their respect and proved our willingness to cooperate. More importantly, we will have created a climate conducive to their objective viewing of problems which will eventually arise. Finally, and practically, any other approach will only feed their apprehension over our real willingness to cooperate.

3. Proposal

a. Inventory - Provide the Committee an inventory of the kinds of material we may have on hand and in which we may safely presume they have an interest, e.g., collections on John Kennedy and Martin Luther King, material made available to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, the Warren Commission, the Rockefeller Commission, Agency responses to related Freedom of Information requests, and related IG and other conclusionary reports. (This inventory should be sized for them, how big, and recommendations

~~INTERNAL USE ONLY~~


on how to approach its review.)

b. Material - Offer the Committee copies of those collections which do not present a security problem.

c. Briefings - Offer introductory background briefings on lines of inquiry concerning (a) above, as well as other areas where it could be helpful to them and could also help to place events and developments in perspective, e. g., the general purpose of our past involvement with anti-Castro groups.

With the preceding in hand, with suggestions on the size of the job (e. g., the number of man-hours required to review the material and recommendations on how to get into it, etc.), and with the offer of introductory briefings on our collections and some of the central issues, we should be in the strongest possible position for exacting from the Committee the prerequisite quid pro quos on security and other matters in which we have strong equities.

4. The credibility of our effort depends upon assurance that those in the Agency working in this area have full access to all relevant information. We must not operate on less than a full and complete informational base. It is requested that the Working Group established under the chairmanship of Scott Breckinridge, Deputy Inspector General, seek to satisfy on an expeditious basis the requirement set forth in paragraph 3 above.



Lyle L. Miller
Deputy Legislative Counsel

Distribution:

- 1 - DCI
- 1 - DDCI
- 1 - ER
- 1 - Scott Breckinridge
- 1 - OGC
- 1 - IG
- 1 - DDA
- 1 - DDO
- 1 - OLC Subject
- 1 - OLC Chrono

OLC:LLM:ndl (27 October 1976)

Nov 24 1 35 PM '76

ER

NOV 28 1 03 PM '76

NOV 21 12 03 PM '76

EV 2